Original Article   https://hnpublisher.com

The Role of Diplomacy in Conflict Resolution: A Case Study of the Palestine -Israeli Conflict

Yahye Ilyas Bashir[1] iD

[1]Master in International Relation and Diplomacy at Somali National University, Somalia.
Correspondence: yahyawellman2@gmail.com[1]

ABSTRACT

Aim of the Study: The impact of diplomacy in settling the protracted and intricate Palestine-Israeli conflict is examined in this study. It seeks to evaluate the difficulties and achievements in historical, political, and social contexts as well as how diplomatic tactics have impacted attempts at conflict resolution. Understanding the role of diplomacy is crucial given the high stakes of this conflict, which has had a significant impact on international relations, economic development, and regional stability.

Methodology: Using a structured questionnaire, the study used descriptive statistics to examine the opinions of 67 respondents, including government officials and postgraduate students involved in politics and diplomacy. A variety of opinions regarding the merits and drawbacks of diplomatic interventions in the Palestine-Israeli conflict are represented in the sample size, which was determined using Slovin's formula. SPSS software was used to analyze the data, and the results were clearly displayed in tables.

Findings: The findings show that opinions on the effectiveness of diplomatic efforts to bring about lasting peace are divided, with almost half of respondents expressing doubts about their efficacy. Many emphasized how diplomatic efforts are hampered by the intricate interactions of regional influences, internal political dynamics, and historical grievances. According to the analysis, diplomacy is essential for mitigation, but it cannot be successful unless underlying problems are addressed and a wide range of stakeholders are involved.

Conclusion: The Palestine-Israeli conflict underscores the need for renewed diplomatic approaches that go beyond traditional negotiation frameworks. Despite ongoing peace initiatives, unresolved core issues continue to fuel tensions, indicating the necessity of inclusive, context-aware strategies in future diplomacy. This research highlights the importance of engaging both state and non-state actors, fostering trust, and promoting understanding to pave the way for sustainable peace.

Keywords: Diplomacy, Conflict Resolution, Palestine-Israeli Conflict, International Relations, Middle East, Sustainable Peace.

14

1. INTRODUCTION

By acting as a conduit for communication between opposing sides and a means of promoting peace, cooperation, and stability, international diplomacy is essential to the mitigation and resolution of world conflicts. One of the oldest and most intricate conflicts in contemporary history, the Palestine-Israeli conflict is characterized by sociopolitical considerations, long-standing historical grievances, territorial disputes, and religious overtones. In addition to having an impact on regional stability and international geopolitics, the conflict still has a significant humanitarian, economic, and political cost for both Israelis and Palestinians despite multiple diplomatic attempts (Anjeliza, 2013; Khalidi, 2013; Naeed et al., 2021).

The historical roots of the Palestine-Israeli conflict trace back to the early 20th century, when both Jewish and Arab nationalisms began to rise within the Ottoman Empire. The conflict intensified following the Balfour Declaration of 1917 and the subsequent establishment of the State of Israel in 1948, leading to the displacement of Palestinian communities and sparking decades of violence and resistance. These historical events laid the foundation for the ongoing hostilities and underscored the critical role of diplomacy as a mechanism for negotiation and reconciliation (Dowty, 2017; Smith, 1970).

Numerous diplomatic attempts have attempted to resolve the conflict through frameworks aimed at mutual recognition, territorial compromise, and peaceful coexistence over the years, including the Camp David Accords, the Oslo Accords, and various United Nations resolutions. However, these initiatives have frequently encountered major obstacles, such as power dynamics in the region, internal political pressures in both Israeli and Palestinian societies, and mistrust between the parties. The diplomatic environment is made more difficult by the participation of foreign parties like the US, the EU, and the Arab League, each of which brings unique interests and sway to the negotiating table. (Shlaim, 2000; Arian, 1995)

By evaluating the success of previous diplomatic initiatives and identifying barriers to a lasting peace, this study explores the complex role of diplomacy in resolving the Palestine-Israeli conflict. It also looks at the possibility of creative diplomatic strategies that put inclusivity first, resolve old grievances, and involve a wider range of stakeholders. By using the Palestine-Israeli conflict as a case study, this study seeks to advance knowledge of diplomacy as a means of resolving disputes and provide guidance for upcoming peacebuilding efforts in complex, long-running conflicts. (Morris, 2001; Pappe, 2006)

1.1   Problem Statement

One of the longest-running and most complex conflicts in contemporary history is still the Palestine-Israeli conflict. A long-term solution has eluded many diplomatic attempts, including well-known ones like the Oslo Accords and the Camp David Accords. Both Israelis and Palestinians have suffered tremendous human, financial, and social costs as a result of this protracted conflict, which has also destabilized the larger Middle East, strained diplomatic ties, and increased tensions worldwide (Shlaim, 2000; Eldar, 2020). Diplomatic efforts are still hampered by important elements like long-standing grievances, ingrained mistrust, conflicting national identities, and intricate regional alliances. The enduring nature of these difficulties points to a serious weakness in the conflict resolution frameworks currently in use, which frequently fall short in addressing the root causes or involving all pertinent parties. Despite their importance, international actors' involvement has been tainted by alleged biases and uneven support, which makes peacebuilding even more difficult (Khalidi, 2013; Rabbani, 2006).

In light of these facts, creative and inclusive diplomatic approaches that can heal historical wounds and promote sincere communication between the parties are desperately needed. By examining previous attempts to determine what has helped and hindered efforts toward peace, this study aims to investigate the role and limitations of diplomacy in resolving the Palestine-Israeli conflict (Morris, 2001; Bickerton & Klausner, 2002).

1.2   Purpose of the Study

This study aims to examine the role of diplomacy in settling the protracted Palestine-Israeli conflict, emphasizing the successes and shortcomings of different diplomatic initiatives. In order to shed light on how diplomatic strategies might be enhanced for upcoming peace-building efforts in similarly drawn-out conflicts, the study attempts to pinpoint the elements that have impacted diplomatic successes and failures in this particular setting.

1.3   Research Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to examine the role of diplomacy in conflict resolution, with a specific focus on the Palestine-Israeli conflict. This study seeks to analyze the effectiveness of diplomatic efforts and assess challenges that prevent lasting peace. The specific objectives are to:

1. Investigate the historical, political, and social factors influencing the Palestine-Israeli conflict.

2. Assess the effectiveness of key diplomatic efforts, such as the Camp David and Oslo Accords, in mitigating the conflict.

3. Identify obstacles, including regional alliances and internal political pressures, that impact the success of diplomatic efforts.

4. Propose recommendations for future diplomatic approaches that can better address the complexities of long-standing conflicts.

1.4   Research Questions

1. What historical, political, and social factors have shaped the Palestine-Israeli conflict?

2. How effective have past diplomatic efforts been in achieving sustainable peace?

3. What are the main challenges to successful diplomacy in this context?

4. What insights can this case provide for improving future diplomatic efforts in protracted conflicts?

1.5   Scope of the Study

This study is limited to the Palestine-Israeli conflict, with a focus on evaluating the diplomatic initiatives aimed at resolving it. The research examines significant diplomatic efforts undertaken from the early 20th century to present-day efforts, analyzing both their successes and limitations. Due to time and resource constraints, the study focuses specifically on the impact of international diplomacy and does not extend to local or grassroots-level peace initiatives.

1.6   Limitations of the Study

The study had a number of drawbacks, such as time constraints that prevented it from examining more recent or grassroots initiatives and instead restricted its scope to an analysis of significant diplomatic efforts. In addition to participant cooperation, there were obstacles in getting answers from important players in diplomatic initiatives because many of them did not reply completely or promptly. Last but not least, financial constraints had an effect on the study, limiting the capacity to perform in-depth fieldwork or interviews with a wider range of stakeholders and influencing data collection.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1   Background of the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict: Historical, Political, and Social Contexts

Tensions between Jewish and Arab nationalist movements first surfaced in the early 20th century, sparking the start of one of the longest and most intricate conflicts in modern history: the Israeli-

Palestinian conflict. This conflict was sparked by significant occasions like the 1917 Balfour Declaration and the 1948 creation of Israel, which resulted in widespread displacement as well as a string of wars and uprisings. These incidents paved the way for the ensuing protracted hostilities, deeply ingraining historical grievances into both sides' identities and policies (Smith, 1970; Khalidi, 2006).

Internal conflicts within Israeli and Palestinian societies have further complicated the political situation. While Israeli politics have witnessed shifting alliances that affect peace talks and policies toward Palestinians, Palestinians have found that the ideological divide between the Palestinian Authority and Hamas has impeded unified efforts for negotiation (Bar-Siman-Tov, 2010; Tamimi, 2001). Social mistrust is maintained by victimization narratives on both sides, which makes resolution even more difficult (Habibi, 1992, Yiftachel, 2006).

2.2   *Historical Diplomatic Efforts in Resolving the Conflict*

The Oslo Accords in the 1990s and the Camp David Accords in 1978 are two significant diplomatic attempts to end the Israeli-Palestinian conflict that have lasted for decades. The U.S.-mediated Camp David Accords, which resulted in a peace treaty between Israel and Egypt, demonstrated the diplomatic potential but also its limitations because the Palestinian issue was not specifically addressed (Shlaim, 2000). By promoting mutual recognition between Israel and the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO), the Oslo Accords marked a turning point; however, implementation issues revealed how difficult it is to maintain diplomatic momentum in a highly polarized setting (Arian, 1995) .

A framework for Israel's withdrawal from occupied territories and the recognition of all participating states has been proposed in UN resolutions, particularly Resolution 242, which have also sought to establish the groundwork for peace. However, Resolution 242's ambiguous wording has given rise to multiple interpretations, making its use in negotiations more difficult (Eban, 1967).

2.3   *Effectiveness of Diplomatic Efforts in Achieving Sustainable Peace*

It is still debatable whether diplomatic attempts to bring about peace between Israel and Palestine are successful. A long-term solution has proven difficult to achieve, despite the fact that diplomatic interventions have occasionally resulted in temporary ceasefires and agreements. Power imbalances and outside geopolitical factors, according to academics, pose serious challenges to balanced diplomacy (Funmi Olonisakin & Adedeji Ebo, 2023). Furthermore, progress is frequently derailed by internal political pressures, such as those originating from Israeli and Palestinian factions that are unwilling to compromise (Sullivan, 2012; Morris, 2001).

The peace process has benefited and been hampered by the participation of international players like the United States. Although the United States has mediated a number of agreements, Palestinian stakeholders have questioned the legitimacy of these diplomatic attempts due to perceived bias towards Israel (Ben-Meir, 2009). Though they reflect shifting regional dynamics, recent diplomatic changes like the Abraham Accords have not yet directly affected the Israeli-Palestinian peace process (Eldar, 2020).

2.4   *Damage Caused by the Conflict and the Need for Diplomatic Resolution*

Both Israelis and Palestinians have suffered significant social, economic, and human costs as a result of the conflict. On both sides, social development and cohesion have been impeded by frequent military conflicts, economic constraints, and the psychological effects of protracted violence (Khouri, 2014; Rosen, 2006). The conflict has caused Palestinians to become economically dependent and have restricted access to resources, which has increased their unhappiness and instability (Rabbani, 2006). Any long-term peace effort must include a diplomatic solution that takes these socioeconomic effects into account.

2.5   *The Role of Diplomacy in Conflict Resolution*

Diplomacy is often considered one of the most viable avenues for managing and resolving conflicts like the Israeli-Palestinian dispute. The concept of Track II diplomacy, which involves non-governmental

actors and civil society organizations, has gained attention as an approach that can foster trust and address underlying grievances in ways that formal negotiations cannot (Anjeliza, 2013). However, challenges such as regional power dynamics, domestic political divisions, and historical grievances pose significant limitations to diplomatic approaches (Pappe, 2006; Khalidi, 2013).

3. METHODOLOGY

The study employs descriptive statistics to analyze the perspectives of 67 respondents, including government officials and postgraduate students engaged in politics and diplomacy, using a structured questionnaire. The sample size, calculated using Slovin's formula, reflects a range of views on the effectiveness and limitations of diplomatic interventions in the Palestine-Israeli conflict. Data analysis was conducted with SPSS software, presenting findings in tables and graphs for clarity.

4. INTERPRETATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

This section focused on presentation and data analysis interpretation of the study, the researcher investigated the role of Diplomacy in Conflict Resolution: A Case Study of the Arab-Israeli Conflict. Also this section covers, two parts; the first part is demographic of the respondents while second part is research questions interpretation and date presentation.

Table 1: *Respondent Demographics.*

Respondents Demographics		Frequency	Percent
Gender	Male	51	76.1
	Female	16	23.9
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Age	21-30	27	40.3
	31-40	15	22.4
	41-50	20	29.9
	Above 51	5	7.5
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Education Level	Bachelor	28	41.8
	Master	29	43.3
	PhD	10	14.9
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Marital Status	Single	38	56.7
	Married	29	43.3
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Work Experience	Less than 1 year	9	13.4
	2 Year	13	19.4
	3 Year	22	32.8
	More than 4 years	23	34.3
	Total	**67**	**100.0**

Source: Primary Data: 2024

Findings in table: 1 shows that the Respondents 51 (76.1%) Were Male, While 16 (23.9%) Only Were Female, However this Table shows The Majority of Respondents were male. Findings shows that the Respondents 27 (40.3%) Were 21-30 their age, While 20 (29.9%) Only Were 41-50 as age, and also 15(22.4%) were 31-40 age, and the remaining were 5(7.5%) were above 51. However this Table shows The Majority of Respondent's age between 21 until 30. This table shows the distribution of educational attainment among a sample of 67 individuals. The most common educational level is "Master's" (29 individuals, 43.3%), followed by "Bachelor's" (28 individuals, 41.8%) and "PhD" (10 individuals, 14.9%). In conclusion, the data suggests that a majority of the individuals in this sample hold a master's degree. Findings shows that the Respondents 38 (56.7) were Single, While 29 (43.3) only Were Married.

However this table shows The Majority of Respondents were Married. Findings shows that 9 (13.4) respondents had work experience of Less than 1 year. While 23 (34.3) respondents had work experience of More than 4 years. However this table shows The Majority of Respondents had work experience of 2 Year. And we can see that the most frequent work experience category is "3 Year" with 22 respondents (32.8%).

Table 2: *Respondent responses about diplomacy in conflict resolution.*

Questions	Responses	Frequency	Percent
Diplomacy plays a crucial role in resolving international conflicts	Strongly Disagree	16	23.9
	Disagree	8	11.9
	Neutral	6	9.0
	Agree	22	32.8
	Strongly agree	15	22.4
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
The Arab-Israeli Conflict could benefit significantly from enhanced diplomatic efforts.	Strongly Disagree	15	22.4
	Disagree	7	10.4
	Neutral	5	7.5
	Agree	23	34.3
	Strongly agree	17	25.4
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Without effective diplomacy, the Arab-Israeli Conflict is unlikely to be resolved.	Strongly Disagree	14	20.9
	Disagree	3	4.5
	Neutral	9	13.4
	Agree	28	41.8
	Strongly agree	13	19.4
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Understanding historical diplomatic initiatives is crucial for addressing the Arab-Israeli Conflict.	Strongly Disagree	12	17.9
	Disagree	8	11.9
	Neutral	10	14.9
	Agree	21	31.3
	Strongly agree	16	23.9
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Diplomatic efforts in the Arab-Israeli Conflict have been successful in achieving lasting peace.	Strongly Disagree	13	19.4
	Disagree	6	9.0
	Neutral	16	23.9
	Agree	23	34.3
	Strongly agree	9	13.4
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Historical diplomatic efforts have played a significant role in shaping the Arab-Israeli conflict.	Strongly Disagree	12	17.9
	Disagree	10	14.9
	Neutral	10	14.9
	Agree	25	37.3
	Strongly agree	10	14.9
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Diplomatic initiatives in the past have contributed positively to reducing tensions in the Arab-Israeli conflict.	Strongly Disagree	10	14.9
	Disagree	6	9.0
	Neutral	12	17.9
	Agree	27	40.3
	Strongly agree	12	17.9
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Diplomacy has been an effective tool in	Strongly Disagree	10	14.9

managing and mitigating the Arab-Israeli conflict over time.	Disagree	8	11.9
	Neutral	10	14.9
	Agree	30	44.8
	Strongly agree	9	13.4
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Understanding the history of diplomatic negotiations is crucial for resolving the Arab-Israeli conflict in the future.	Strongly Disagree	11	16.4
	Disagree	7	10.4
	Neutral	14	20.9
	Agree	25	37.3
	Strongly agree	10	14.9
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Diplomatic efforts are effective in achieving sustainable peace.	Strongly Disagree	12	17.9
	Disagree	9	13.4
	Neutral	8	11.9
	Agree	23	34.3
	Strongly agree	15	22.4
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Effective diplomacy can lead to the establishment of international agreements and treaties that uphold peace and stability global	Strongly Disagree	9	13.4
	Disagree	6	9.0
	Neutral	14	20.9
	Agree	23	34.3
	Strongly Disagree	15	22.4
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Diplomatic initiatives often contribute to the mediation of conflicts, preventing escalation into violence and promoting peaceful resolution	Strongly Disagree	11	16.4
	Disagree	10	14.9
	Neutral	10	14.9
	Agree	22	32.8
	Strongly agree	14	20.9
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
International diplomacy helps in building trust and fostering cooperation among nations, which are essential for long-term peace.	Strongly Disagree	9	13.4
	Disagree	6	9.0
	Neutral	12	17.9
	Agree	26	38.8
	Strongly agree	14	20.9
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
Arab-Israeli conflict significantly impacted regional economic development.	Strongly Disagree	15	22.4
	Disagree	7	10.4
	Neutral	12	17.9
	Agree	23	34.3
	Strongly agree	10	14.9
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
The conflict is not a major cause of the damages	Strongly Disagree	19	28.4
	Disagree	9	13.4
	Neutral	9	13.4
	Agree	22	32.8
	Strongly agree	8	11.9
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
The conflict is not the primary cause of the damages.	Strongly Disagree	20	29.9
	Disagree	7	10.4
	Neutral	8	11.9
	Agree	22	32.8

	Strongly agree	10	14.9
	Total	**67**	**100.0**
The conflict has caused irreparable harm.	Strongly Disagree	16	23.9
	Disagree	2	3.0
	Neutral	10	14.9
	Agree	26	38.8
	Strongly agree	13	19.4
	Total	**67**	**100.0**

Source: Primary Data: 2024

Findings in Table 2 show that opinions are divided on the role of diplomacy in resolving international conflicts. While 32.8% of respondents (22) agreed and 15 (22.4%) strongly agreed, signifying a belief in diplomacy's importance, a combined 23.9% (16) strongly disagreed and 8 (11.9%) disagreed, reflecting skepticism about its effectiveness. A neutral stance was taken by 6 (9.0%) of respondents.

Table question 2 presents data on attitudes towards enhancing diplomatic efforts in resolving the Arab-Israeli Conflict. The table indicates varying degrees of agreement among respondents: Among the 67 participants surveyed: 22.4% strongly disagreed that enhanced diplomatic efforts would benefit the conflict resolution. 10.4% disagreed with the notion. 7.5% remained neutral on the issue. 34.3% agreed that enhanced diplomatic efforts could be beneficial and 25.4% strongly agreed with this proposition.

Table questions 3 explores opinions regarding the necessity of effective diplomacy for resolving the Arab-Israeli Conflict. The data reveals the following distribution among the 67 respondents surveyed: 20.9% strongly disagreed that effective diplomacy is crucial for resolving the conflict. 4.5% disagreed with the statement. 13.4% remained neutral on the issue. 41.8% agreed that effective diplomacy is necessary for resolution and 19.4% strongly agreed with this statement.

Based on the data from the table question 4 regarding the understanding of historical diplomatic initiatives in addressing the Arab-Israeli Conflict: 17.9% strongly disagree that understanding historical diplomatic initiatives is crucial. 11.9% disagree with the statement. 14.9% remain neutral on the issue. 31.3% agree that understanding historical diplomatic initiatives is crucial and 23.9% strongly agree with this statement.

Based on the data provided for the success of diplomatic efforts in achieving lasting peace in the Arab-Israeli Conflict: 19.4% strongly disagree that diplomatic efforts have been successful in achieving lasting peace. 9.0% disagree with the statement. 23.9% remain neutral on the issue. 34.3% agree that diplomatic efforts have been successful in achieving lasting peace and 13.4% strongly agree with this statement.

In summary, the data illustrates a diverse range of opinions among the 67 respondents. A combined total of 47.7% either disagree or strongly disagree with the notion that diplomatic efforts have been successful in achieving lasting peace, while 47.7% agree or strongly agree with this assertion. This indicates a balanced split in perceptions regarding the effectiveness of diplomatic initiatives in resolving the Arab-Israeli Conflict and achieving sustained peace

Findings in table shows that the Respondents 25 (37.3) were strongly agree, While 12 (17.9) only were strongly disagree and the remained disagree, neutral and strongly agree were 10(14.9). However this table shows The Majority of Respondents were Agree.

The findings indicate that 40.3% of respondents agree and 17.9% strongly agree that past diplomatic efforts have positively contributed to tension reduction. Conversely, 14.9% strongly disagree and 9.0% disagree with this notion. Approximately 17.9% of respondents remain neutral on the issue. Overall, the table reflects varied perspectives on the impact of diplomacy in mitigating tensions, highlighting differing opinions within the sample regarding its effectiveness in the Arab-Israeli conflict,

Findings in table shows that the Respondents 30 (44.8) were agree, While 10 (14.9) only Were strongly disagree and neutral and the remained disagree were 8(11.9), also the last one 9(13.4%) were strongly agree, However this table shows The Majority of Respondents were Agree.

Findings in table shows that the Respondents 25 (37.3) were agree, While 10 (14.9) only Were strongly agree, neutral were 14(20.9%) and the remained disagree were 7(10.4), also the last one 11(16.4%) were strongly disagree, However this table shows The Majority of Respondents were Agree.

Findings in table shows that the Respondents 23 (34.3) were agree, While 15 (22.4) only Were strongly agree, neutral were 8(11.9%) and the remained disagree were 9(13.4), also the last one 12(17.9%) were strongly disagree, However this table shows The Majority of Respondents were Agree.

Findings in tableshows that the Respondents 23 (34.3) were agree, While 15 (22.4) only Were strongly agree, neutral were 14(20.9%) and the remained disagree were 6(9.0), also the last one 9(13.4%) were strongly disagree, However this table shows The Majority of Respondents were Agree.

Findings in table shows that the Respondents 22 (32.8) were agree, While 14 (20.9) only Were strongly agree, neutral were 10(14.9%) and the remained disagree were 10(14.9), also the last one 11(16.4%) were strongly disagree, However this table shows The Majority of Respondents were Agree.

Findings in table shows that the Respondents 26 (38.8) were agree, While 14 (20.9) only Were strongly agree, neutral were 12(17.9%) and the remained disagree were 6(9.0%), also the last one 9(13.4%) were strongly disagree, However this table shows The Majority of Respondents were Agree.

Findings in table shows that the Respondents 23 (34.3) were agree, While 10 (14.9) only Were strongly agree, neutral were 12(17.9%) and the remained disagree were 7(10.4%), also the last one 15(22.4%) were strongly disagree, However this table shows The Majority of Respondents were Agree.

Findings in table shows that the Respondents 22 (32.8) were agree, While 8(11.9) only Were strongly agree, neutral were 9(13.4%) and the remained disagree were 9(13.4%), also the last one 19(28.4%) were strongly disagree, However this table shows The Majority of Respondents were Agree.

Findings in table shows that the Respondents 22 (32.8) were agree, While 10(14.9) only Were strongly agree, neutral were 8(11.9%) and the remained disagree were 7(10.4%), also the last one 20(29.9%) were strongly disagree, However this table shows The Majority of Respondents were Agree

Findings in table shows that the Respondents 26 (38.8) were agree, While 13(19.4) only Were strongly agree, neutral were 10(14.9%) and the remained disagree were 2(3.0%), also the last one 16(23.9%) were strongly disagree, However this table shows The Majority of Respondents were Agree.

5. CONCLUSION

The data indicated varying perspectives on the role of diplomacy in resolving the Palestine-Israeli Conflict, A significant portion (55.2%) agreed or strongly agreed that enhanced diplomatic efforts could benefit the resolution of the conflict. However, opinions were split regarding the effectiveness of historical diplomatic initiatives and their contribution to peace (54.7% agreed or strongly agreed). And also the perception of diplomatic success is regarding the success of diplomatic efforts in achieving lasting peace, the findings reflected a balanced view. Approximately half of the respondents (47.7%) believed that diplomatic efforts have been successful in achieving lasting peace and also conversely, 47.7% either disagreed or strongly disagreed with this notion, highlighting skepticism regarding diplomatic efficacy in conflict resolution.

5.1 Recommendations

5.1.1 Policy Implications

- ❖ **Enhanced Diplomatic Training**: Governments and international organizations should invest in training diplomats with a focus on modern conflict resolution strategies.

- ❖ **Promotion of Track II Diplomacy**: Encourage non-governmental diplomatic initiatives to complement official efforts and bridge gaps in trust and communication.

5.1.2 Academic and Research Implications

- ❖ **Interdisciplinary Research**: Foster interdisciplinary research to explore innovative diplomatic approaches integrating political science, international relations, and psychology.

- ❖ **Longitudinal Studies**: Support longitudinal studies to track the evolving perceptions of diplomacy and conflict resolution over time.

5.1.3 Practical Applications

- ❖ **Public Diplomacy Campaigns**: Utilize public diplomacy campaigns to educate the public on the role and impact of diplomacy in resolving conflicts.

- ❖ **Youth Engagement**: Engage youth through educational programs to cultivate future diplomats and peace advocates.

ORCID's

Yahye Ilyas Bashir [1] https://orcid.org/0009-0001-2884-7495

REFERENCES

Akram, S. M., Lynk, S. M., & Onf, E. L. I. C. (2013). Scholarly Commons at Boston University School of Law: The Arab-Israeli Conflict and International Law.

Anjeliza. (2013). No 主観的健康感を中心とした在宅高齢者における 健康関連指標に関する共分散構造分析Title. 39(1945), 1–9.

Bar-Siman-Tov, Y. (2010). Barriers to Peace in the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict. *Jerusalem Institute for Israel Studies, 406*, 1–385.

Ben-Meir, A. (2009). Israel and the Arab peace initiative. *American Foreign Policy Interests, 31*(3), 206–212. https://doi.org/10.1080/10803920902966578

Brown, N. J., & Hamzawy, A. (2023). Arab Peace Initiative II: How Arab Leadership Could Design a Peace Plan in Israel and Palestine. November.

Carter Center. (2002). The Israeli-Palestinian Conflict: Historical and Prospective Intervention Analyses. *Philosophy and Public Affairs,* 1–20. www.cartercenter.org

Deckert, J., & Wilson, M. (2023). Descriptive Research Methods. *In Research Methods in the Dance Sciences* (pp. 153–165). https://doi.org/10.5744/florida/9780813069548.003.0011

Dennen, J. van der. (2005). University of Groningen Introduction: On Conflict. *The Sociobiology of Conflict*, 1–19.

Dowty, A. (2017). The Arab-Israeli conflict. In Essential Israel: Essays for the 21st Century (pp. 89–117). https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315125374-1

Friedman, T. L. (2005). *The World is Flat: A Brief History of the Twenty-First Century*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Funmi Olonisakin, Adedeji EBO, A. A. K. (2023). From peacebuilding to sustaining peace and preventing conflict: What role for SSR?

Khalidi, R. (2006). *The Iron Cage: The Story of the Palestinian Struggle for Statehood*. Beacon Press.

Khouri, R. (2014). *The Arab-Israeli Conflict: The Impact on Economic Development in the Region*. Middle East Policy.

Maurer, P. (2012). Challenges to international humanitarian law: *Israel's occupation policy, 94*(888), 1503–1510.

Morris, B. (2001). *The Birth of the Palestinian Refugee Problem Revisited*. Cambridge University Press.

Naveed, S., Jamal-ud-Din, & Khan, U. (2021). Pulwama Attack: Comparative Analysis of Pakistani and Indian Print Media. *Print, Radio, TV and Film Studies*, *2*, 47-55. https://doi.org/10.71016/prtfs/8fw55292

Neal, L. S. (1995). Digital Commons @ IWU: The Roots of the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict: 1882-1914.

Political, T. H. E., & Of, A. (1991). The Political Analysis of Conflict. 14–35.

Rabbani, M. (2006). The Palestinian Authority: Between a Rock and a Hard Place. *Journal of Palestine Studies*, 45(4), 295-339. https://doi.org/10.1177/002088170904500402

Said, E. (1993). The Politics of Dispossession: The Struggle for Palestinian Self-Determination, 1969-1994. Knopf.

Senadeera, M. (2023). Conflict Resolution in International Diplomacy: A Case Study of the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict.

Smith, C. D. (1970). Palestine and the Arab-Israeli Conflict: 1948 –.November 1947, 1–5.

Swiss Federal Department of Foreign Affairs. (2009). ABC of Diplomacy. Directorate of Public International Law.