





Prospects and Barriers of Witness Protection Laws in Pakistan

Wasiq B. Aqeel¹, Areeba Shahbaz², Tansif Ur Rehman³, Abdullah Nawaz⁴

¹LLB, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Karachi, Pakistan.

²LLB, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Karachi, Pakistan.

³Lecturer, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Karachi, Pakistan.

⁴LLB, Department of Law, Dadabhoy Institute of Higher Education, Karachi, Pakistan.

Correspondence: wasiqaqeel@live.com¹

ABSTRACT

Aim of the Study: Focusing on provincial laws in Punjab, Sindh, Balochistan, and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and exploring international, Islamic, and U.N. perspectives, the study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of witnesses' challenges in participating in criminal trials. The research also investigates the impact of cultural and social factors on implementing witness protection laws, the risks witnesses encounter in high-profile cases, and the perceptions of protection among witnesses.

Methodology: This study employed a systematic review methodology, with research objectives established accordingly. A comprehensive literature review was conducted (Komba & Lwoga, 2020).

Findings: Research findings were categorized based on their content (Hiver et al., 2021; Petticrew & Roberts, 2006), and classified information was incorporated into the study by organizing it into headings (Gan et al., 2021; Pawson et al., 2005). The evaluation of classified information and titles formed the basis of the study (Page, 2021; Rahi, 2017), ensuring the integrity of the research subject and its contents (Egger et al., 2022; Victor, 2008).

Conclusion: The study concluded that adapting international experiences to the Pakistani context requires careful consideration of legal, cultural, and institutional factors. The complexities of witness protection, including balancing the accused's rights with the witnesses' safety, underscore the need for tailored legislative and procedural measures. Addressing challenges such as witness credibility, budgetary constraints, and potential politicization of protection efforts is essential for the success of witness protection laws in Pakistan. In light of escalating incidents of witness killings and intimidation, urgent action is required to strengthen witness protection frameworks through legislative reforms, investment in resources, capacity building, and interagency collaboration.

Keywords: Criminal Trials, Cultural Factors, Legal Framework, Policy Recommendations, Regional Disparities, Security Safety.

Article History

Received:
March 22, 2024

Revised:
May 31, 2024

Accepted:
June 05, 2024

Published:
June 08, 2024

Introduction

The landscape of witness protection laws in Pakistan is a nuanced tapestry, weaving together promising prospects and formidable barriers. These legal provisions, conceived with the noble intent of fortifying the pillars of justice, hold the potential to reshape the judicial terrain. However, as we delve into the intricacies, a dichotomy emerges that displays the reality of how flawed the criminal justice system is, with the Witness Protection Laws being limited to the text and not having an implementation to give the laws a spirit in the justice system (Masood, 2018).

At its core, the promise of witness protection laws lies in their ability to create a shield, a haven for those crucial to the unveiling of truth. By fostering an environment where witnesses can step forward without fear of reprisal, these laws aspire to inject renewed vigor into the justice system (Ali & Uddin, 2020). Only then can our judicial system be considered functioning if the witness, without the threat or fear of losing life, property, or honor, appears before the trial court to provide his testimonies of the incident. Upon his testimony, the court shall have the last missing piece of the puzzle to decide the innocence or guilt of the accused.

However, the path to realizing this promise is strewn with formidable barriers. One of the primary challenges is the allocation of resources. Adequate funding and infrastructure are imperative for effectively executing witness protection measures, and their scarcity poses a substantial hurdle. The country naturally suffers from budget exhaustion for numerous reasons that lead to whether the witnesses ever have the protection right as vested in the Constitution of Pakistan 1973 due to monetary hurdles the administration or the executive organ of the country is suffering from (Hameed, 2015).

Moreover, systemic inefficiencies within the legal framework can impede the seamless application of these laws. The need for a more comprehensive and cohesive legal structure becomes apparent, one that acknowledges the importance of witness protection and streamlines its integration into the broader justice system (DAWN, 2021). The ignorance of the rights of protection for the witnesses in criminal trials is one of the causes that has set the Judicial branch of Pakistan behind in global rankings, where allegations are put forward labeling the judicial system of Pakistan as corrupt, influenced, and on the wrong side of the coin (Arab News, 2023).

In essence, balancing the scales between promise and impediment is crucial. Navigating this delicate equilibrium demands a concerted effort to address resource deficiencies, fortify systemic foundations, and craft a legal architecture that elevates witness protection from a mere aspiration to a tangible reality in the pursuit of justice on Pakistani soil (Tanoli, 2020); only then we shall witness the rise in conviction rate which stood at 8.6% in a statistical report that also mentioned the conviction rates of India and South Africa which stood at 37.4% and 39% respectively, giving a reality check of the Prosecution, police and criminal justice system of the country (Lateef, 2022).

Research Justification

This research is essential to comprehend the dynamics of witness protection laws in Pakistan, evaluate their effectiveness, and identify challenges witnesses encounter during criminal proceedings. The study addresses the need for an in-depth analysis of regional variations, cultural influences, and the impact of existing legal frameworks on witness protection. By exploring international best practices and considering the unique features of Islamic law, this research contributes valuable insights to the improvement of witness protection programs in Pakistan.

Research Objectives

The primary objective of this research is to comprehensively analyze witness protection laws in Pakistan, with a specific focus on regional disparities, legal frameworks, and international perspectives. By evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of existing legislation, the research aims to identify critical obstacles witnesses face in participating in criminal trials, considering cultural and social factors. The

study also seeks to explore potential risks in high-profile cases and assess the perception of protection among witnesses. Through a comparative analysis of international best practices and Islamic law, this research aims to formulate policy recommendations for enhancing witness protection laws in Pakistan, addressing identified barriers and challenges.

Research Methodology

This study employed a systematic review methodology, with research objectives established accordingly. A comprehensive literature review was conducted (Komba & Lwoga, 2020). Research findings were categorized based on their content (Hiver et al., 2021; Petticrew & Roberts, 2006), and classified information was incorporated into the study by organizing it into headings (Gan et al., 2021; Pawson et al., 2005). The evaluation of classified information and titles formed the basis of the study (Page, 2021; Rahi, 2017), ensuring the integrity of the research subject and its contents (Egger et al., 2022; Victor, 2008).

Literature Review

Witness protection is a critical component of the criminal justice system that aims to ensure the safety and cooperation of individuals who provide crucial testimony in legal proceedings. This literature review delves into various perspectives and analyses regarding witness protection laws and programs, drawing insights from various scholarly and journalistic sources.

The first source, an overview of witness protection laws, provides a foundational understanding of the legal framework surrounding witness protection. It discusses the intricacies of witness protection legislation, highlighting key provisions and mechanisms to safeguard witnesses. This comprehensive analysis serves as a basis for further exploration into witness protection programs' effectiveness and implementation challenges (Adil, 2017).

In contrast, the second source examines the delays and ineffectiveness of witness protection programs within the context of criminal justice reform. It sheds light on systemic issues such as delayed First Information Reports (FIRs) and the inadequacy of existing witness protection measures. By addressing these shortcomings, the article underscores the urgent need for reforms to enhance witness protection and ensure the integrity of legal proceedings (Abbas, 2021).

The third source discusses witness protection in Pakistan, offering insights into the specific challenges and initiatives within the country. It explores the evolving landscape of witness protection policies and programs, highlighting progress and persistent challenges. This localized analysis provides valuable context for understanding the complexities of implementing witness protection measures in diverse socio-political contexts (Ali & Gul, 2015).

In a critical commentary, the fourth source emphasizes the significance of witness protection as a matter too serious to be solely entrusted to police bureaucrats. It calls for a multi-stakeholder approach involving judicial oversight and specialized agencies to ensure the effectiveness and impartiality of witness protection efforts. This perspective underscores the importance of institutional collaboration and accountability in safeguarding witnesses and upholding the rule of law (Hameed, 2015).

The fifth source offers a comparative analysis that further enriches the discourse by examining witness protection policies across different jurisdictions. By juxtaposing varying approaches and outcomes, the analysis identifies best practices and lessons learned for improving witness protection programs. This comparative perspective offers valuable insights into the nuanced challenges and opportunities in devising effective witness protection strategies (Waseem, 2017).

Lastly, a scholarly article delves into the legal dimensions of witness protection, offering a comprehensive examination of relevant case law and legislative developments. By synthesizing legal precedents and scholarly insights, the article provides a nuanced understanding of the evolving legal framework governing witness protection. This scholarly perspective deepens our understanding of the complex

interplay between legal principles, policy considerations, and practical challenges in ensuring witness safety and cooperation (Ali & Uddin, 2020).

In conclusion, this literature review highlights the multifaceted nature of witness protection laws and programs, encompassing legal, institutional, and socio-political dimensions. Drawing on diverse perspectives and analyses, it underscores the importance of ongoing reforms and collaborative efforts to strengthen witness protection and uphold the integrity of criminal justice systems worldwide.

The Need for Witness Protection in Pakistan

Witness protection laws are indispensable components of any justice system. They aim to safeguard the integrity of legal proceedings and ensure the safety of individuals providing crucial testimony (Adil, 2017). In Pakistan, the enactment and implementation of such laws have been subject to scrutiny and analysis. This article critically assesses the efficacy of witness protection laws in Pakistan, drawing insights from legal frameworks, policy initiatives, and practical challenges.

The Witness Protection Law incorporates 'each individual who has given or consented to give, or might be expected to give proof comparable to the commission or conceivable commission of a serious offense, or an individual connected with such witness might require protection or an individual who either has or gives a data to an official of law upholding office and has consented to give proof or requires protection or help under the law for some other explanation.' It incorporates witnesses and authorities of legal executives, judges, lawyers, and examiners who are either compromised or threatened. However, it is still being determined if informants and sources of the police are protected for the class of safeguarded people under this Law (Abbas, 2021).

A 'threatened' witness implies an individual who has consented to or is expected to give proof regarding serious offense and whose life or potential property or that of his direct relations is imperiled. Laws in certain states extend eligibility for protection to judges, police officers, prosecutors, and even journalists. These individuals are sometimes protected under the witness protection program; however, their security needs often necessitate additional measures, including police protection and other steps, to ensure their comprehensive safety.

The most upsetting circumstance that exists in our Criminal Justice System is that throughout recent years, terrible occurrences of witness killing have been accounted for in high-profile cases. These occurrences are successive to the point that they show a tendency towards seriously lamenting circumstances in the future on the off chance that they have not thought about and checked with the execution of authoritative and procedural measures (Ali & Gul, 2015).

Legislation for Witness Protection in Pakistan

Regulations have been presented in such a manner, for example, the Anti-Terrorism Act 1997 (Section 21) and the Protection of Pakistan Act 2014 (Sections 9, 10, and 13). The Anti-Terrorism Act 1997 was additionally reinforced by various changes presented in 2013, from the witness and other legal staff security to the utilization of safeguards during preliminary guaranteeing secrecy to the exchange of preliminary starting with one spot and then onto the next.

Pakistan has taken steps towards formalizing mechanisms for witness protection through legislative measures such as the Witness Protection Act. This legislation provides a legal framework for establishing witness protection programs and outlines provisions for security, relocation, and support services for vulnerable witnesses (Baig, 2020). While the existence of these laws marks progress, their practical implementation remains a significant challenge due to various factors. The Sindh government has presented the Sindh Witness Protection Act 2013. The Provincial Assembly passed The Balochistan Witness Protection Act IV of 2016. As per its preface, the goal of the demonstration is "to accommodate the protection of witnesses and empower them to give proof in criminal procedures." The law accommodates a "witness protection program." The witness protection under this law incorporates;

"redistribution" or "change of identification" or some other appropriate assistance or administration given to the protected witness or any individual connected with him or part of his family (or household).

Even so, the Sindh Assembly quickly passed this sort of law, the 'Sindh Witness Protection Act 2013', on 18.09.2013. Under this law 'The Advisory Board for Protection of Witness comprises; The Secretary of the Home Department (as Chairman of the Board), The Law Secretary, The Finance Secretary, The Inspector General Police, The Inspector General Prison, The Prosecutor General, The Additional Inspector General, CID (as Secretary of the Board) and Representatives of Provincial Human Rights Commission.' A Witness Protection Unit, as laid out under the demonstration, will attempt to run the program with the counsel of the Board. Under the demonstration, the Central Protection Official will be mindful of choosing the confirmation of a witness to the protection program after considering the multitude of realities, nature of hazard, earnestness of danger, or terrorizing the witness or some other related individual. Except for minor changes, The Balochistan Witness Protection Act 2016 imitates the Sindh Witness Protection Law.

When the Witness Protection Unit decides to include an individual in the protection program under the two Acts, the following measures may be taken to safeguard the witness: issuing new identity documents, relocating the individual, altering their appearance and voice, taking a pre-trial statement, using video conferencing to obtain the protected witness's statement, providing necessary security for the witness and their family members, offering accommodation, and, in the event of the witness's death or permanent disability during their participation in the program, ensuring the education of their children under state responsibility.

The Punjab Witness Protection Act of 2018 is the more recent legislation concerning witness protection. The proposed government bill on Witness Protection of 2015 also aims to amend the Criminal Procedure Code. This proposed amendment seeks to replace the witness's identification and address with a numerical designation in all instances where this information is required, thereby enhancing the witness's security and anonymity.

The latest is the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Witness Protection Act, 2021, which, as its existing regulations, aims to establish a witness protection program in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province of Pakistan. The act provides measures for protecting witnesses, their families, and other persons associated with the witness. It also outlines the establishment of a Witness Protection Board to oversee the implementation of the program and the provision of necessary measures for the safety and security of witnesses. The act includes provisions for the confidentiality of information, the relocation of witnesses, and the use of technology for protection.

Challenges to Pakistan in Implementing Witness Protection Laws

Pakistan faces significant challenges in giving life to the Witness Protection Laws in its Judicial System, mainly being:

1. Resource constraints: Limited financial and institutional resources pose a significant obstacle to establishing and operating comprehensive witness protection programs in Pakistan. Adequate funding and capacity-building initiatives are essential to overcome these constraints and ensure the effective delivery of protection services.

2. Lack of awareness and training: Key stakeholders, including law enforcement agencies, judiciary, legal professionals, and civil society organizations, need more awareness and training regarding the provisions and procedures of witness protection laws. Comprehensive training programs and public awareness campaigns are necessary to address this gap and enhance the efficacy of protection measures.

3. Institutional coordination and collaboration: Effective implementation requires close coordination and collaboration among various stakeholders, including law enforcement agencies, prosecutors,

judiciary, and social service providers. Clear protocols and mechanisms for interagency cooperation are necessary to provide protection services seamlessly.

4. Security concerns and political interference: Pakistan's security environment and political dynamics pose challenges to witness protection efforts. Security breaches, infiltration by criminal elements, and political interference can undermine the effectiveness of witness protection programs and jeopardize witness safety.

International Practices Regarding Witness Protection

Organized crime, for example, Mafia, Gangs, or Cartels, bringing to justice is at times genuinely challenging for law enforcement agencies except if an individual from such Groups or Organizations consents to break the well-known rule of 'Silence' or 'Oath of Omerta' and is prepared to help out the law. In such a case, the accused, who are bound to be prosecuted, attempt to scare, undermine, and frighten such witness for hushing the witness to provide a testimony that does not form a link to the criminal organization. Such witnesses were given protection by the law authorizing experts for their participation and help to the equity framework (UNODC, 2008).

Bit by bit, states began fostering their 'Witness Protection Project' as an indispensable piece of the law enforcement framework. Due to the increase in organized crime and terrorism worldwide, an ever-increasing number of states have either settled or are laying out protection programs for witnesses. A perfect example is the 'United States v. Anthony Salerno et al.' in which the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York found the 8 Defendants guilty of Racketeering by testimonies provided by the Witnesses under their Witness Protection Program. It was the beginning of a crackdown on decades of existing Cosa Nostra, which could not be brought to justice because Witnesses did not show up before the trial Courts to assist the Prosecution in creating a linkage of the Accused with the Offence (Lubash, 1986; United States v. Anthony Salerno et al., 1987)

Countries like the USA have developed robust witness protection programs that offer valuable insights for Pakistan. The United States Witness Protection Program (WITSEC) is a well-established program that provides comprehensive protection to witnesses involved in high-profile cases, organized crime, and terrorism-related prosecutions. Due to the following reasons, the USA was successfully able to implement the Witness Protection Program in its Criminal Justice System:

1. Comprehensive support services: The USA's witness protection program offers a range of support services to witnesses, including relocation, financial assistance, medical care, and counseling. Providing comprehensive support ensures the well-being and cooperation of witnesses throughout legal proceedings.

2. Stringent security measures: WITSEC employs stringent security measures to safeguard the identity and whereabouts of protected witnesses. It includes changing identities, providing secure housing, and monitoring potential threats to ensure the safety of witnesses and their families.

3. Inter-agency collaboration: The success of WITSEC is attributed to close collaboration among federal law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, and the judiciary. Clear protocols and mechanisms for information sharing and coordination enhance the effectiveness of protection efforts and ensure seamless service delivery.

4. Public awareness and community engagement: The USA's witness protection program emphasizes public awareness and community engagement to garner support and cooperation. Outreach programs and community partnerships help build trust and confidence in protection measures, encouraging witnesses to come forward and testify.

Administrative Drawbacks Encompassing Witness Protection in Pakistan

Despite legal frameworks and policy initiatives, witness protection laws in Pakistan encounter various challenges and implementation hurdles. Resource constraints pose a significant obstacle to establishing

and operating comprehensive witness protection programs. Limited funding and institutional capacity hinder the effective delivery of protection services, leaving witnesses vulnerable to intimidation and retaliation. Moreover, a lack of awareness and training among critical stakeholders, including law enforcement agencies, judiciary, and legal professionals, exacerbates implementation challenges.

A sufficient understanding of witness protection laws and procedures leads to consistent application and increases the effectiveness of protection measures. Comprehensive training programs and awareness campaigns are essential to address this gap and successfully implement witness protection laws. Institutional coordination and collaboration present additional challenges to effectively implementing witness protection laws. Clear protocols and mechanisms for interagency cooperation are necessary to provide protection services seamlessly. Close coordination among law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, judiciary, and social service providers is imperative to overcome these institutional barriers and enhance the efficacy of witness protection programs (Zaidi, 2016).

Furthermore, security concerns and political interference pose significant risks to witness safety and the integrity of protection efforts. Pakistan's volatile security environment and political dynamics heighten witnesses' vulnerability to intimidation, harassment, and violence. Security breaches and infiltration by criminal elements undermine trust in protection measures and deter witnesses from coming forward to testify (Waseem, 2017).

Discussion

The witness protection laws in Pakistan are multifaceted, encompassing legislative frameworks, implementation challenges, and international best practices. Despite enacting laws such as the Sindh Witness Protection Act of 2013 and the Balochistan Witness Protection Act IV of 2016, effective implementation remains a pressing concern. Resource constraints, lack of awareness, and institutional coordination issues pose significant hurdles to providing adequate protection for witnesses and their families. International experiences, particularly from countries like the USA, offer valuable insights into the development and operation of witness protection programs.

The U.S. Witness Security Program serves as a model for providing comprehensive protection to witnesses involved in organized crime cases. Lessons from Germany, Colombia, and Italy highlight the importance of stringent admission criteria, oversight mechanisms, and witness support services.

However, translating international best practices into the Pakistani context requires careful consideration of legal, cultural, and institutional factors. Witness protection's complexities, including balancing the accused's rights with the witnesses' safety, underscore the need for tailored legislative and procedural measures. Moreover, addressing challenges such as witness credibility, budgetary constraints, and potential politicization of protection efforts is crucial for the success of witness protection laws in Pakistan.

In light of escalating incidents of witness killings and intimidation, there is an urgent need for concerted action to strengthen witness protection laws and mechanisms. It requires legislative reforms, resource investment, capacity building, and interagency collaboration. By learning from domestic experiences and international best practices, Pakistan can enhance its witness protection framework and ensure the safety and cooperation of witnesses in legal proceedings.

Conclusion

The witness protection laws in Pakistan highlight the critical need for robust legislative frameworks and effective implementation mechanisms. Despite enacting laws such as the Sindh Witness Protection Act of 2013 and the Balochistan Witness Protection Act IV of 2016, numerous challenges persist, including resource constraints, lack of awareness, and institutional coordination issues. International best practices, particularly from countries like the USA, offer valuable insights into developing and operating witness protection programs. The U.S. Witness Security Program serves as a model for providing comprehensive

protection to witnesses involved in organized crime cases, emphasizing stringent admission criteria, oversight mechanisms, and support services.

However, adapting international experiences to the Pakistani context requires careful consideration of legal, cultural, and institutional factors. The complexities of witness protection, including balancing the accused's rights with the witnesses' safety, underscore the need for tailored legislative and procedural measures. Addressing challenges such as witness credibility, budgetary constraints, and potential politicization of protection efforts is essential for the success of witness protection laws in Pakistan. In light of escalating incidents of witness killings and intimidation, urgent action is required to strengthen witness protection frameworks through legislative reforms, investment in resources, capacity building, and interagency collaboration.

By learning from domestic experiences and international best practices, Pakistan can enhance its witness protection framework and ensure the safety and cooperation of witnesses in legal proceedings. Only through concerted efforts and sustained commitment can Pakistan uphold the rule of law and combat impunity effectively.

Recommendations

Recommendations for Enhancing Witness Protection Laws in Pakistan: Drawing from international best practices, the following recommendations are proposed to enhance witness protection laws in Pakistan:

1. **Comprehensive legislative reforms:** Undertake comprehensive legislative reforms to strengthen witness protection laws in Pakistan. It includes clarifying definitions, expanding the scope of protected persons to include whistleblowers and informers, and ensuring consistency and coherence across provincial and federal laws.
2. **Robust implementation mechanisms:** Establish robust implementation mechanisms for witness protection laws, including dedicated agencies or units tasked with overseeing and coordinating protection efforts at the provincial and federal levels.
3. **Interagency coordination:** Enhance interagency coordination among law enforcement agencies, judiciary, prosecutors, and other stakeholders involved in witness protection. It involves developing protocols for information sharing, collaboration, and joint operations to ensure seamless protection for witnesses.
4. **Resource allocation:** Allocate sufficient resources, including funding, personnel, and infrastructure, to support the effective implementation of witness protection laws. It includes investing in training programs for law enforcement personnel and providing adequate security measures for protected witnesses.
5. **Public awareness campaigns:** Launch public awareness campaigns to educate the general public, legal professionals, and potential witnesses about the importance of witness protection, their rights and responsibilities under the law, and the available support services.
6. **Victim and witness support services:** Strengthen victim and witness support services by providing counseling, legal assistance, and other forms of support to help witnesses navigate the legal process and cope with the emotional and psychological impact of testifying.
7. **Confidentiality and privacy measures:** Ensure strict confidentiality and privacy measures to protect witnesses' identity and personal information. It includes limiting access to witness records, conducting hearings on camera when necessary, and safeguarding witnesses' data from unauthorized disclosure.
8. **Risk assessment protocols:** Develop standardized protocols to evaluate the threat witnesses face and determine appropriate protection measures. It involves thoroughly assessing the witness's circumstances, including their exposure to potential harm and vulnerabilities.

9. **Adaptive security measures:** Implement adaptive security measures that respond dynamically to changing threats and risks witnesses face. It includes providing flexible protection options such as relocation, change of identity, and enhanced security measures based on evolving circumstances.
10. **Legal aid and representation:** Ensure access to legal aid and representation for witnesses, particularly those from marginalized or vulnerable groups who may face additional barriers to accessing justice. It includes providing free or subsidized legal services to witnesses in need.
11. **Victim compensation schemes:** Establish them to provide financial assistance and support to witnesses who have suffered harm or loss due to their cooperation with law enforcement authorities. It can alleviate the financial burden and incentivize witness participation.
12. **Whistleblower protections:** Strengthen whistleblower protections under witness protection laws to encourage individuals to come forward with information about criminal activities without fear of retaliation or reprisal. It includes introducing legal safeguards against victimization or discrimination for whistleblowers.
13. **Independent oversight mechanisms:** Establish independent oversight mechanisms to monitor and evaluate the implementation of witness protection laws, including the effectiveness of protection measures and adherence to procedural safeguards. It can help ensure accountability and transparency in protection efforts.
14. **International cooperation:** Foster international cooperation and collaboration on witness protection issues, including sharing best practices, exchanging information, and providing mutual assistance in cases involving cross-border witnesses or threats.
15. **Continuous evaluation and improvement:** Conduct regular evaluations and reviews of witness protection laws and practices to identify gaps, challenges, and areas for improvement. It involves soliciting stakeholder feedback, conducting impact assessments, and incorporating lessons learned into policy reforms and programmatic adjustments.

Research Limitations

One research limitation of the provided data and discussion on witness protection laws in Pakistan is the need for more empirical evidence and statistical data. While the research offers valuable insights into legislative frameworks, implementation challenges, and international best practices, it primarily relies on qualitative analysis and anecdotal evidence. Assessing their impact is difficult without comprehensive data on the effectiveness of Pakistan's witness protection laws and programs.

Furthermore, the research predominantly focuses on legal and procedural aspects of witness protection, overlooking broader socioeconomic and cultural factors that may influence the implementation and effectiveness of such laws. Factors such as societal attitudes towards witnesses, the prevalence of corruption within law enforcement agencies, and the influence of political actors on the justice system are essential considerations that warrant further exploration.

Additionally, the research lacks perspectives from stakeholders directly involved in witness protection efforts, such as law enforcement officials, prosecutors, and civil society organizations. Incorporating their insights and experiences could provide a more nuanced understanding of the challenges and opportunities in implementing witness protection laws in Pakistan.

Finally, the data does not address the potential ethical considerations and human rights implications of witness protection measures, such as the right to privacy, freedom of movement, and access to justice. Exploring these ethical dimensions is essential for ensuring that witness protection efforts adhere to international standards and respect the rights of all parties involved.

In summary, while the research provides valuable insights into witness protection laws in Pakistan, it is limited by a lack of empirical data, a narrow focus on legal aspects, and an absence of diverse

perspectives and ethical considerations. Addressing these limitations through further research and collaboration with relevant stakeholders is essential for developing comprehensive and effective witness protection mechanisms in Pakistan.

Research Implications

The study on witness protection laws in Pakistan offers several avenues for future research that can deepen our understanding of this critical aspect of the criminal justice system. Here, we outline detailed research implications across various dimensions:

One crucial area for future research involves evaluating the effectiveness of witness protection laws in Pakistan and identifying the challenges faced in their implementation. Longitudinal studies tracking the enforcement of these laws over time provide insights into trends and patterns. Additionally, qualitative research could explore the barriers law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, and judicial authorities encounter in operationalizing these laws. Understanding the gaps between legislative intent and on-the-ground realities can inform policy reforms and capacity-building initiatives to enhance witness protection mechanisms' efficacy.

Comparative research comparing witness protection systems across different countries, particularly those with well-established programs like the USA, Germany, and Colombia, can offer valuable insights. By examining the legal frameworks, operational procedures, and outcomes of witness protection programs in diverse contexts, researchers can identify best practices and innovative approaches that may be adapted to the Pakistani context. Comparative studies could also shed light on the cultural, institutional, and socioeconomic factors influencing the effectiveness of witness protection efforts, facilitating cross-border learning and knowledge exchange.

Exploring the perspectives and experiences of stakeholders directly involved in witness protection efforts is essential for understanding the challenges and opportunities in this field. Qualitative research methods, such as interviews, focus groups, and participatory approaches, can capture the insights of law enforcement officials, prosecutors, judges, civil society organizations, and witnesses. By eliciting their perspectives on issues such as resource constraints, institutional coordination, and the impact of protection measures on witness safety and cooperation, researchers can generate actionable recommendations for policy and practice.

Future research could explore the ethical dimensions and human rights implications of witness protection laws and practices in Pakistan. By examining issues such as privacy rights, freedom of movement, and access to justice for witnesses, researchers can assess the compatibility of protection measures with international human rights standards. Ethical analyses could also explore the tension between protecting witnesses and ensuring the rights of the accused to a fair trial, offering insights into the ethical dilemmas faced by policymakers and practitioners in this field.

Conducting comprehensive impact assessments to evaluate the outcomes and effects of witness protection laws on the criminal justice system in Pakistan is crucial. Researchers can assess the impact on conviction rates, case outcomes, witness satisfaction, and public trust in the justice system, providing empirical evidence of the effectiveness of protection measures. Outcome evaluations could also examine the unintended consequences of witness protection laws, such as potential retribution against witnesses or distortions in the judicial process, informing strategies for mitigating risks, and enhancing positive outcomes.

By pursuing these research implications, scholars, policymakers, and practitioners can contribute to advancing knowledge, improving practice, and enhancing the effectiveness of witness protection laws in Pakistan. Through evidence-based research and collaborative efforts, the goal of ensuring the safety and cooperation of witnesses in legal proceedings can be achieved, thereby strengthening the rule of law and promoting justice and accountability.

Future Research Directions

The study on witness protection laws in Pakistan opens up several avenues for future research directions:

Conducting longitudinal studies to track the implementation and effectiveness of witness protection laws over time would provide valuable insights into trends, patterns, and changes in witness protection practices. Long-term research could also assess the impact of legislative reforms, policy interventions, and capacity-building initiatives on witness safety and cooperation.

Future research could focus on conducting comprehensive impact assessments to evaluate the outcomes and effects of witness protection laws on the criminal justice system in Pakistan. Assessing the impact on conviction rates, case outcomes, witness satisfaction, and public trust in the justice system would provide empirical evidence of the effectiveness of witness protection measures.

Comparative studies comparing witness protection mechanisms across different provinces in Pakistan could shed light on variations in implementation, challenges, and best practices. Comparing provincial laws, policies, and practices could inform policy harmonization efforts and identify areas for cross-provincial collaboration and learning. Investigating the institutional dynamics and organizational factors influencing the implementation of witness protection laws would provide insights into the role of law enforcement agencies, judiciary, prosecutors, and civil society organizations in ensuring adequate protection for witnesses. Analyzing organizational structures, capacities, and incentives could inform strategies for enhancing institutional coordination and collaboration.

Exploring perspectives on witness protection, including perceptions, attitudes, and experiences of witnesses, victims, and other stakeholders, could provide valuable insights into the social and cultural factors influencing protection efforts. Community-based research methods, such as surveys, focus groups, and participatory approaches, could facilitate community engagement and empowerment in witness protection initiatives. Future research could delve deeper into the ethical considerations and human rights implications of witness protection laws and practices in Pakistan. Examining privacy rights, freedom of movement, and access to justice for witnesses from marginalized or vulnerable groups could inform policy debates and advocacy efforts to strengthen rights protections in witness protection programs.

By pursuing these future research directions, scholars, policymakers, and practitioners can contribute to advancing knowledge, improving practice, and enhancing the effectiveness of witness protection laws in Pakistan. Through evidence-based research and collaborative efforts, the goal of ensuring the safety and cooperation of witnesses in legal proceedings can be achieved, thereby strengthening the rule of law and promoting justice and accountability.

Acknowledgements

None

Conflict of Interest


Authors have no conflict of interest.


Funding Source


The authors received NO funding to conduct this study.

ORCID iDs

Wasiq B. Aqeel ¹  <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-6498-4693>

Areeba Shahbaz ²  <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-3828-386X>

Tansif Ur Rehman ³  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5454-2150>

Abdullah Nawaz ⁴  <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-7608-2056>

References

- Abbas, S. (2021). Criminal Justice Reform – Delayed FIRs and ineffective witness protection programs. *Research Society of International Law*. <https://rsilpak.org/2021/criminal-justice-reform-delayed-firs-and-ineffective-witness-protection-programs/>
- Adil, K. (2017). The witness protection laws: An overview. *Academia*. https://www.academia.edu/35431171/THE_WITNESS_PROTECTION_LAWS_AN_OVERVIEW
- Ali, L., & Uddin, A. (2020). Absence of witness protection is a threat to fair trial as envisaged under the Constitution of Pakistan, 1973. *Pakistan Journal of Applied Social Sciences* 11(2), 59-78.
- Ali, B., & Gul, P. (2015). Need for witness protection program: In Pakistan in general, and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in Particular. *Heinonline*, 46(77).
- Arab News. (2023) Police, Judiciary remain the most corrupt Institutions in Pakistan, survey finds. <https://www.arabnews.pk/node/2423291/pakistan>
- Baig, Z. (2022). Senate panel concerned over lack of witness protection. *The Express Tribune*. <https://tribune.com.pk/story/2345059/senate-panel-concerned-over-lack-of-witness-protection?amp=1>
- DAWN (2021). *Witness protection*. <https://www.dawn.com/news/1644579>
- Egger, M., Higgins, J. P., & Smith, G. D. (Eds.). (2022). *Systematic reviews in health research: Meta-analysis in context*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Govt. of Pakistan. *The Sindh Witness Protection Act, 2013*. <http://pas.gov.pk/uploads/acts/Sindh%20Act%20No.LI%20of%202013.pdf>
- Govt. of Pakistan. *The Balochistan Witness Protection Act, 2016*. <https://pabalochistan.gov.pk/pab/pab/tables/alldocuments/actdocx/2018-1023%2012:09:48act042016.pdf>
- Govt. of Pakistan. *The Witness Protection, Security and Benefit Act, 2017*. <https://pakistancode.gov.pk/english/UY2FqaJw1-apaUY2Fqa-apaUY2Npa5lraA%3D%3D-sg-iiiiiijj>
- Govt. of Pakistan. *Anti-Terrorism (Amendment) Act, 2018*. http://www.senate.gov.pk/uploads/documents/1519623257_372.pdf
- Govt. of Pakistan. *The Punjab Witness Protection Act, 2018*. <http://punjablaws.gov.pk/laws/2713.html>
- Govt. of Pakistan. *The Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Witness Protection Act, 2021*. https://kpcode.kp.gov.pk/uploads/THE_KHYBER_PAKHTUNKHWA_WITNESS_PROTECTION_ACT_2021.pdf
- Hameed, U. (2015). Witness protection: A matter too serious to be handed over to police and bureaucrats. *Courting the Law*. <https://courtingthelaw.com/2015/08/22/commentary/witness-protection-a-matter-too-serious-to-be-handed-over-to-police-bureaucrats/>
- Hiver, P., Al-Hoorie, A. H., Vitta, J. P., & Wu, J. (2021). Engagement in language learning: A systematic review of 20 years of research methods and definitions. *Language Teaching Research*, 13621688211001289. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688211001289>
- Komba, M. M., & Lwoga, E. T. (2020). *Systematic review as a research method in library and information science*. 10.4018/978-1-7998-1471-9.ch005.

- Lateef, A. (2022). Low conviction rate in Pakistan: Causes and way forward. *Biannual Research Journal: Pakistan Perspective*, 27(1). <https://journal.psc.edu.pk/index.php/pp/article/view/473/419>
- Lubash, A. (1986). U.S. jury convicts eight as members of Mob Commission. *New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/1986/11/20/nyregion/us-jury-convicts-eight-as-members-of-mob-commission.html>
- Masood, F. (2018). Witness protection in Pakistan. *The Nation*. <https://www.nation.com.pk/07-Aug-2018/witness-protection-in-pakistan>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., & Moher, D. (2021). Updating guidance for reporting systematic reviews: Development of the PRISMA 2020 statement. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 134, 103-112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.02.003>
- Pawson, R., Greenhalgh, T., Harvey, G., & Walshe, K. (2005). Realist review - A new method of systematic review designed for complex policy interventions. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*, 10(1), 21-34. 10.1258/1355819054308530
- Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). Systematic reviews in the social sciences: *A practical guide*. Blackwell Publishing. 10.1002/9780470754887
- Rahi, S. (2017). Research design and methods: A systematic review of research paradigms, sampling issues, and instruments development. *International Journal of Economics & Management Sciences*, 6(2). 10.4172/2162-6359.1000403
- Tanoli, I. (2020). Two key witnesses in the Naqeeb murder case retract statements. *DAWN*. <https://www.dawn.com/news/1570356>
- United States v. Anthony Salerno et al. (1987). No. 86-87; 481 U.S. 739
- UNODC (2008). Good practices for the protection of witnesses in criminal proceedings involving organized crime. *The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime*. https://www.unodc.org/documents/middleeastandnorthafrica/organisedcrime/Good_Practices_for_the_Protection_of_Witnesses_in_Criminal_Proceedings_Involving_Organized_Crime.pdf
- Victor, L. (2008). Systematic reviewing in the social sciences: Outcomes and explanation. *Enquire*, 1(1), 32-46. <https://www.nottingham.ac.uk/sociology/documents/enquire/volume-1-issue-1-victor.pdf>
- Waseem, H. (2017). Witness protection policy: A comparative analysis. *Human Rights Review*: (4). <https://humanrightsreviewpakistan.wordpress.com/volume-iv/witness-protection-policy-a-comparative-analysis/>
- Zaidi, S. (2016). Terrorism prosecution in Pakistan. *United States Institute of Peace*. <https://www.usip.org/publications/2016/04/terrorism-prosecution-pakistan>